

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/924,722 08/09/2001		Balaji Lakshmikanth Bangolae	CSCO-009/4342	3956	
26392 7590 08/24/2005			EXAMINER		
		REN THAPPETA	NG, CHRISTINE Y		
C/O LANDO 1700 DIAGO		AD, SUITE 450		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				2663	

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ijΚ	
•	
n.	

## Advisory Action

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	09/924,722	BANGOLAE ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Christine Ng	2663		

Advisory Action	09/924,722	DANGOLAL LI AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Christine Ng	2663					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 08 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.					
<ul> <li>☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>a) ☐ The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ul>							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because							
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);					
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ejected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li> </ul>		timely filed amendm	nent canceling				
the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>5-8,14-17 and 29-32.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,9-13,18-28 and 33-36.</u>							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t hafara ar an tha data of filing a l	Notice of Annual will r	not be entered				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary				
<ul> <li>9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).</li> <li>10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.</li> </ul>							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	Mickel RICKY NGO					
		PRIMARY EXAMIN	JERÍ				

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Referring to claim 1, the status of the bi-directional virtual circuit (PVC) at the lower layer is based on the routing information passed between the routers at the higher layer. The routers distribute information to other routers on the status of "logical connections" which can be a "physical layer channel", since each logical connection consists of "one or more PVCs" which connect ATM routers. Refer to Column 5, lines 15-21 and Column 10, line 31 to Column 11, line 30. This offers motivation to include that the another end system informs the first end system if the bi-directional virtual circuit is operational. Referring to claim 2, the claim states that the 'receive frequency' is examined. Rubino et al disclose that the ATM router 102 (the end system) receives an AIS signal and determines that the bi-directional virtual circuit is operational if the frequency at which the AIS signal is received does not change substantially. Refer to Column 6, lines 37-57.